arXiv:1507.02468v1 [math.AP] 9 Jul 2015

GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS FOR AXISYMMETRIC MHD SYSTEM WITH ONLY VERTICAL VISCOSITY

QUANSEN JIU[1], HUAN YU[2] AND XIAOXIN ZHENG[3]

Abstract. In this paper, we are concerned with the global well-posedness of a tri-dimensional MHD system with only vertical viscosity in velocity equation for the large axisymmetric initial data. By making good use of the axisymmetric structure of flow and the maximal smoothing effect of vertical diffusion, we show that $\sup\limits_{2\leq p<\infty}\displaystyle\int_0^t \frac{\|\partial_z u(\tau)\|_{L^p}^2}{p^{3/4}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau<\infty$. With this regularity for the vertical first derivative of velocity vector field, we further establish losing estimates for the anisotropy tri-dimensional MHD system to get the high regularity of (u,b), which guarantees that $\int_0^t\|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}\tau<\infty$. This together with the classical commutator estimate entails the global regularity of a smooth solution.

1. Introduction

The magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) equations govern the dynamics of the velocity and the magnetic field in electrically conducting fluids such as plasmas and reflect the basic physics conservation laws. It has been at the center of numerous analytical, experimental and numerical investigations. The Cauchy problem of the tri-dimensional incompressible MHD system has the following form

$$\begin{cases}(\partial_t+u\cdot\nabla)u-\nu_x\partial_{xx}^2u-\nu_y\partial_{yy}^2u-\nu_z\partial_{zz}^2u+\nabla p=(b\cdot\nabla)b, \quad (t,\mathbf{x})\in\mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\ (\partial_t+u\cdot\nabla)b-\eta_x\partial_{xx}^2b-\eta_y\partial_{yy}^2b-\eta_z\partial_{zz}^2b=(b\cdot\nabla)u,\\ \operatorname{div}u=\operatorname{div}b=0,\\ (u,b)|_{t=0}=(u_0,b_0),\end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $u=u(\mathbf{x},t)$ denotes the velocity of the fluid, $b=b(\mathbf{x},t)$ stands for the magnetic field and the scalar function $p=p(\mathbf{x},t)$ is pressure. The parameters ν_x, ν_y, ν_z, η_x, η_y, η_z are nonnegative constants. In addition, the initial data u_0 and b_0 satisfy $\operatorname{div}u_0=\operatorname{div}b_0=0$ and $\mathbf{x}=(x,y,z)$.

In the bi-dimensional case, the constants ν_z and η_z in problem (1.1) become zero. For this case, if all parameters ν_x, ν_y, η_x, η_y are positive, some results concerning on the global well-posedness for sufficiently smooth initial data (see for example [5, 23]) were established in terms of the L^2-energy estimate. When the four parameters are zero, it reduces to an ideal MHD system. The global regularity of this system is still a challenging open problem. So, it has been a hot research topic to examine the intermediate cases where some of the four parameters are positive in the past few years. Recently, Cao and Wu in [4] showed that smooth solutions are global for system (1.1) with $\nu_x>0$, $\nu_y=0$, $\eta_x=0$, $\eta_y>0$ or $\nu_x=0$, $\nu_y>0$, $\eta_x>0$, $\eta_y=0$. More progress has also been made on several other partial dissipation cases of the bi-dimensional MHD equations. For system (1.1) with $\nu_x>0$, $\eta_x>0$ and $\nu_y=\eta_y=0$, Cao, Dipendra and Wu [3] derived that the horizontal component of any solution admits a global (in time) bound in any Lebesgue space L^{2r} with $1<r<\infty$ and the bound grows no faster than the order of $r\log r$ as r increases. In [4] and [13], system (1.1) with $\nu_x=\nu_y=0$, $\eta_x=\eta_y>0$ are shown to posse global H^1 weak solutions. However, the uniqueness of such weak solutions and a global H^2-bound remain unknown. Very recently, when $\eta_x=\eta_y=0$,

---

Date: July 10, 2015.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B33, 35Q35 , 76D03, 76D05.

Key words and phrases. MHD system, vertical viscosity, global well-posedness, a losing estimate.

$\nu_x = \nu_y > 0$ in system (1.1), the global well-posedness by assuming that the initial data is close to a non-trivial steady state was investigated in [14], [22] and [28].

Nevertheless, except that the initial data have some special structures, it is still not known whether or not the tri-dimensional Navier-Stokes system (when $b = 0$ in (1.1)) with large initial data has a unique global smooth solution. For instance, by assuming that the initial data is axisymmetric without swirl, Ladyzhenskaya [15] and Ukhovskii and Yudovich [25] independently proved that weak solutions are regular for all time (see also [11]). Inspired by [11], [15] and [25], more recent works are devoted to considering the axisymmetric Boussinesq or MHD system without swirl component of the velocity field. The global regularity results have been obtained for the axisymmetric Boussinesq system without swirl, when the dissipation only occurs in one equation or is present only in one direction (anisotropic dissipation)(see, e.g., [1, 6, 8, 9, 18, 19]). While for the axisymmetric MHD system, the first result that a specific geometrical assumption allows global well-posedness was established by Lei in [12]. More precisely, under the assumption that u_θ, b_r and b_z are trivial, he showed that there exists a unique global solution if the initial data is smooth enough. Later on, Jiu and Liu [10] further investigated the Cauchy problem for the tri-dimensional axisymmetric MHD equations with horizontal dissipation and vertical magnetic diffusion.

In the present paper, we aim at investigating system (1.1) with $\nu_x = \nu_y = 0$, $\eta_x = \eta_y = \eta_z = 0$. Without loss of generality, we set $\nu_z = 1$. The corresponding system thus reads

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + u\cdot\nabla)u - \partial_{zz}^2 u + \nabla p = (b\cdot\nabla)b, \quad (t,\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (\partial_t + u\cdot\nabla)b = (b\cdot\nabla)u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} b = 0, \\ (u,b)|_{t=0} = (u_0, b_0). \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

Our main concern here is to establish a family of unique solutions of system (1.2) with the form

$$u(\mathbf{x},t) = u_r(r,z,t)e_r + u_z(r,z,t)e_z,$$

$$b(\mathbf{x},t) = b_\theta(r,z,t)e_\theta$$

in the cylindrical coordinate system. Here

$$e_r = (\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r}, 0), \quad e_\theta = (-\frac{y}{r}, \frac{x}{r}, 0), \quad e_z = (0,0,1), \quad \text{and} \quad r = \sqrt{x^2+y^2}.$$

Then, we can equivalently reformulate (1.2) as

$$\begin{cases} (\partial_t + u\cdot\nabla)u_r - \partial_{zz}^2 u_r = -\partial_r p - \frac{b_\theta^2}{r}, \quad (t,\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ (\partial_t + u\cdot\nabla)u_z - \partial_{zz}^2 u_z = -\partial_z p, \\ (\partial_t + u\cdot\nabla)b_\theta = \frac{b_\theta u_r}{r}, \\ \partial_r u_r + \frac{u_r}{r} + \partial_z u_z = 0, \\ (u_r, u_z, b_\theta)|_{t=0} = (u_0^r, u_0^z, b_0^\theta), \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

in the cylindrical coordinates.

By easy computations, we find that the vorticity $\omega := \nabla \times u$ can be expressed as

$$\omega(\mathbf{x},t) = \omega_\theta(r,z,t)e_\theta,$$

with

$$\omega_\theta = \partial_z u_r - \partial_r u_z.$$

It follows from (1.3) that ω_θ satisfies

$$\partial_t \omega_\theta + (u\cdot\nabla)\omega_\theta - \partial_{zz}^2 \omega_\theta = \frac{u_r \omega_\theta}{r} - \frac{\partial_z b_\theta^2}{r}. \tag{1.4}$$

Now let us present the main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $(u_0, b_0) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \times H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *with* $s > \frac{5}{2}$ *be axisymmetric divergence free vector fields such that* $u_0 = u_0^r e_r + u_0^z e_z$ *and* $b_0 = b_0^\theta e_\theta$*. Then system* (1.2) *admits a unique global-in-time axisymmetric solution* (u, b) *satisfying*

$$u \in C\big(\mathbb{R}^+; H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \quad \partial_z u \in L^2_{\rm loc}\big(\mathbb{R}^+; H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)\big),$$

$$b \in C\big(\mathbb{R}^+; H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)\big), \quad \frac{b_\theta}{r} \in C\big(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)\big).$$

Remark 1.2. Compared with the model considered in [12], the diffusion only occurs in vertical direction of the velocity equation of system (1.2). This leads to the fact that the method used in [12] doesn't work for problem (1.2). Thus we develop some new estimates and techniques to compensate for the loss of the horizontal diffusion. Also, the assumption of anisotropy is natural and reasonable, because experiments show that in certain regimes and after suitable rescaling, the horizontal dissipation is negligible compared to the vertical dissipation.

Remark 1.3. Formally, system (1.2) corresponds to the following bi-dimensional MHD equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + (u \cdot \nabla) u - \partial^2_{yy} u + \nabla p = (b \cdot \nabla) b, \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \partial_t b + (u \cdot \nabla) b = (b \cdot \nabla) u, \\ \operatorname{div} u = \operatorname{div} b = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$. But, the global well-posedness of these equations for the large initial data is still an open problem. Cao and Wu [4] just showed the global regularity for the bi-dimensional MHD equations with mixed partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion.

Now, we briefly describe the difficulties and outline the main ingredients in our proof. Since the viscosity occurs only in the vertical direction of the velocity equation, behavior of the system is like that of the inviscid incompressible MHD equations. Thus, how to establish $\int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty} \,\mathrm{d}\tau < \infty$ for any $t > 0$ is the key point to establish global solution for large initial data. To do this, we meet a big problem that it is impossible to use the L^2 energy estimate to control the strong coupling nonlinearities between the velocity and the magnetic fields. This induces us to consider the solution which enjoys the special structure. Inspired by the ideas in [12, 15], we consider the solution with the form

$$u(\mathbf{x}, t) = u_r(r, z, t) e_r + u_z(r, z, t) e_z \quad \text{and} \quad b(\mathbf{x}, t) = b_\theta(r, z, t) e_\theta.$$

Thanks to the special structure of this solution to system (1.2), we observe that the quantity $\frac{b_\theta}{r}$ satisfies the homogeneous transport equation

$$\partial_t \Big(\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big) + (u \cdot \nabla)\Big(\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big) = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

The incompressible condition allows us to get the maximum principle of $\frac{b_\theta}{r}$:

$$\Big\| \frac{b_\theta}{r}(t) \Big\|_{L^p} \le \Big\| \frac{b_0}{r} \Big\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for} \quad p \in [2, \infty].$$

As a result, we are able to bound $\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}(t)\|_{L^{3,1}}$ and $\|b_\theta(t)\|_{L^p}$ with $p \in [2, \infty]$, where $L^{3,1}$ is a Lorentz space (see Section 2 for details).

Next, taking the standard L^p-estimate of the vorticity equation (1.4) yields

$$\frac{1}{p} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \|\omega_\theta(t)\|^p_{L^p} + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2} \big\| \partial_z |\omega_\theta(t)|^{\frac{p}{2}} \big\|^2_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_r}{r} |\omega_\theta|^p \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + (p-1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{b^2_\theta}{r} |\omega_\theta|^{p-2} \partial_z \omega_\theta \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.$$

Taking advantage of the Hölder inequlity and the fact that $\big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\big\|_{L^\infty} \le \big\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\big\|_{L^{3,1}}$, we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u_r}{r} |\omega_\theta|^p \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \le C \Big\| \frac{\omega_\theta}{r} \Big\|_{L^{3,1}} \|\omega_\theta\|^p_{L^p}.$$

On the other hand, by the Young inequality and the Hölder inequality, one has

$$(p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}|\omega_\theta|^{p-2}\partial_z\omega_\theta\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\leq\frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\big\|\partial_z|\omega_\theta|^{\frac{p}{2}}\big\|_{L^2}^2+Cp\Big(\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{L^{2p}}^4+\|b_\theta\|_{L^{2p}}^4\Big)\|\omega_\theta\|_{L^p}^{p-2}.$$

Collecting both estimates, it follows that

$$\|\omega(t)\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}:=\sup_{2\leq p<\infty}\frac{\|\omega_\theta(t)\|_{L^p}}{\sqrt{p}}<\infty. \tag{1.6}$$

This together with the well-known fact

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p}\leq C\frac{p^2}{p-1}\|\omega\|_{L^p}\quad\text{with}\quad p\in(1,\infty)$$

leads to $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p}\leq Cp^{\frac{3}{2}}$. Unfortunately, the function $p\sqrt{p}$ does not belong to the dual Osgood modulus of continuity (an dual Osgood modulus of continuity $\Omega(p)$ is the non-decreasing function satisfying $\int_a^\infty\frac{1}{\Omega(\tau)}\,\mathrm{d}\tau=\infty$ for some $a>0$). In other words, the growth rate of $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p}$ is too fast to obtain higher-order estimates of (u,b). To overcome this difficulty, we further exploit the space-time estimate about $\sup\limits_{2\leq p<\infty}\displaystyle\int_0^t\frac{\|\partial_z u(\tau)\|_{L^p}^2}{p^{3/4}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau<\infty$ by making good use of the maximal vertical smoothing effect and micro-local techniques. This space-time estimate enables us to obtain the limited loss of the high regularity for ω_θ, b_θ and $\frac{b_\theta}{r}$. Since this loss is arbitrary small, we can show that $\int_0^t\|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}\tau<\infty$ for all $t\geq0$, which we believe to be of independent interest (see Proposition 2.10 in Section 3). With this regularity for u, the BKM's criterion ensures the global regularity of problem (1.2).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review Littlwood-Paley theory and some useful lemmas and then establish losing a priori estimates for transport equation, which is an important ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we obtain a priori estimates for sufficiently smooth solutions of system (1.2) by using the procedure that we have just described in introduction. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Finally, an appendix is devoted to several useful lemmas.

Notation: Throughout the paper, the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$-norm of a function f is denoted by $\|f\|_{L^p}$ and the $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$-norm by $\|f\|_{H^s}$. Moreover, $L_T^q(X)=L^q(0,T;X)$, $1\leq q\leq\infty$ is the set of function $f(t)$ defined on $(0,T)$ with values in a given Banach space $X(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\int_0^T\|f(t)\|_{X(\mathbb{R}^3)}\,\mathrm{d}t<\infty$ and we denote $X(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by X for simplicity. The spaces $\mathbb{L}^a$ with $a\in[0,1]$ consist of all functions $f\in L^p$, $2\leq p<\infty$ satisfying

$$\|f\|_{\mathbb{L}^a}:=\sup_{2\leq p<\infty}\frac{\|f\|_{L^p}}{p^a}<\infty.$$

We denote $\mathbb{L}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}$ for the sake of simplicity.

2. Preliminaries

This section consists of three subsections. In the first subsection, we recall the Littlewood-Paley theory and introduce Besov spaces. In the second subsection, we provide the Bernstein-type inequalities for fractional derivatives and some lemmas used for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The third subsection is devoted to the proof of losing a prior estimates for the anisotropy transport-diffusion equations, which enables us to establish the Lipschitz estimate for the velocity field.

2.1. **The Littlewood-Paley theory and Besov spaces.** Assume that (χ, φ) is a couple of smooth functions with values in $[0,1]$ such that $\operatorname{supp}\chi \in \big\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \big| |\xi| \leq \frac{4}{3}\big\}$, $\operatorname{supp}\varphi \subset \big\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \big| \frac{3}{4} \leq |\xi| \leq \frac{8}{3}\big\}$ and

$$\chi(\xi) + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \varphi(2^{-j}\xi) = 1 \quad \text{for each } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For every $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the dyadic blocks can be defined by

$$\Delta_{-1}u = \chi(D)u \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_j u := \varphi(2^{-j}D)u \quad \text{for each } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We shall also use the following low-frequency operator:

$$S_j u := \chi(2^{-j}D)u.$$

From the definition of operators above, it is easy to check that

$$u = \sum_{j\geq -1} \Delta_j u \quad \text{in} \quad \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Moreover, we introduce the Bony para-product decomposition to deal with the nonlinear term. For two tempered distributions u and v, we define para-product term and remainder term as follows:

$$T_u v = \sum_j S_{j-1}u\Delta_j v, \qquad R(u,v) = \sum_{|i-j|\leq 2} \Delta_i u \Delta_j v$$

and then we have the following Bony's decomposition

$$uv = T_u v + T_v u + R(u,v).$$

Definition 2.1. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $(p,q) \in [1,+\infty]^2$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we set

$$\|u\|_{B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \Big(\sum_{j\geq -1} 2^{jsq} \|\Delta_j u\|^q_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{if} \quad q < +\infty$$

and

$$\|u\|_{B^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{j\geq -1} 2^{js} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then we define *inhomogeneous Besov spaces* as

$$B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \big\{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \big| \, \|u\|_{B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < +\infty\big\}.$$

Next, we introduce the anisotropic spaces because the dissipation term only occurs in the vertical direction. To do this, we need to define the following anisotropic operator:

$$\Delta^h_i f(\mathbf{x}_h) := 2^{2i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \varphi(\mathbf{x}_h - 2^i\mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{y})\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta^v_i f(z) := 2^{2i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(z - 2^i y) f(y)\, \mathrm{d}y$$

for $i = 0, 1, 2 \cdots$ and $\mathbf{x}_h := (x, y)$.

Definition 2.2. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $(p,q) \in [1,+\infty]^2$ and $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we set

$$\|u\|_{B^{\alpha,\beta}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \Big(\sum_{j,\, k\geq -1} 2^{j\alpha q} 2^{k\beta q} \big\|\Delta^h_j \Delta^v_k u\big\|^q_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{if} \quad q < +\infty$$

and

$$\|u\|_{B^{\alpha,\beta}_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \sup_{j,\, k\geq -1} 2^{j\alpha} 2^{k\beta} \big\|\Delta^h_j \Delta^v_k u\big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Then *anisotropic Besov spaces* are defined by

$$B^{\alpha,\beta}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3) := \big\{u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \big| \, \|u\|_{B^{\alpha,\beta}_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < +\infty\big\}.$$

Let us point out that the usual Sobolev spaces H^{α} and $H^{\alpha,\beta}$ coincide with Besov spaces $B^{\alpha}_{2,2}$ and $B^{\alpha,\beta}_{2,2}$, respectively.

Finally, we review Lorentz spaces and the generalized Young inequality for the convolution of two functions. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \le q \le \infty$. Then, by the classical real interpolation, one can define Lorentz spaces $L^{p,q}$ as follows:

$$L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \big(L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n), L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)_{(\theta,q)},$$

where $1 \le p_1 < p < p_2 \le \infty$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1-\theta}{p_2}$ and $q \in [1, \infty]$.

According to the definition above, we easily find that for $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \le q_1 \le q_2 \le \infty$,

$$L^{p,q_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^{p,q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Lemma 2.3 ([21])**.** *Let $1 < p, q, r < \infty$, $0 < s_1, s_2 \le \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + 1$, and $\frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s_2} = \frac{1}{s}$. Then there holds*

$$\|f * g\|_{L^{r,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C(p, q, s_1, s_2)\|f\|_{L^{p,s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|g\|_{L^{q,s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Moreover, in the case that $r = \infty$, we have that for $\alpha \in (0, n)$,

$$\|f * g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|f\|_{L^{\frac{n}{\alpha},\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|g\|_{L^{\frac{n}{n-\alpha},1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

2.2. **Bernstein inequalities and some useful lemmas.** Bernstein inequalities are useful tools in dealing with Fourier localized functions and integrability for derivatives. The following lemma provides Bernstein-type inequalities for fractional derivatives.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\alpha \ge 0$ and $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$.*

1) *If f satisfies*

$$\operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ |\xi| \le K2^j\},$$

for some integer j and a constant $K > 0$, then there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|\Lambda^{\alpha} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_1\, 2^{\alpha j + jn(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

2) *If f satisfies*

$$\operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : \ K_1 2^j \le |\xi| \le K_2 2^j\}$$

for some integer j and constants $0 < K_1 \le K_2$, then there exist two constants $C_2 > 0$ and $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$C_2\, 2^{\alpha j}\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \|\Lambda^{\alpha} f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_3\, 2^{\alpha j}\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Lemma 2.5 ([16])**.** *For any $p \in (1, \infty)$, there exists a positive constant C independent of p such that*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\frac{p^2}{p-1}\|\omega\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.6 ([2, 20])**.** *Assume that f solves the classical linear heat equation*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t f - \Delta f = 0, & (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0, \end{cases}$$

Then there exist two positive constants C and c such that for every $j \ge 0$,

$$\|\Delta_j f(t)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|e^{t\Delta}\Delta_j f_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le Ce^{-ct2^{2j}}\|f_0\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Next, we recall a useful algebraic identity and its properties.

Lemma 2.7. *Let u be a divergence-free axisymmetric vector-field and $\omega = \operatorname{curl} u$. Then*

$$\frac{u_r}{r} = \partial_z \Delta^{-1}\Big(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\Big) - 2\frac{\partial_r}{r}\Delta^{-1}\partial_z\Delta^{-1}\Big(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\Big). \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\frac{u_r}{r}\Big)\Big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C\,\Big\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)},\ 1 < p < \infty.$$

$$\Big\|\partial_{zz}\Big(\frac{u_r}{r}\Big)\Big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C\Big\|\partial_z\Big(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\Big)\Big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)},\ 1 < p < \infty.$$

Proof. The magic algebraic identity (2.2) was established by Miao and Zheng, one can refer to [19] for the proof. □

The following lemma is about anisotropic Sobolev norms, which will be useful in the proof of Proposition 3.6.

Lemma 2.8. *For any $\alpha \in [0,1)$, there holds the following estimates*

$$\|\Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}) \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\|\Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}). \tag{2.4}$$

Here and in what follows, we denote $\Lambda_h := \sqrt{-(\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)}$ and $\Lambda_v := \sqrt{-\partial_z^2}$.

Proof. By the interpolation inequality, there exists a $p \in [2,\infty)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le \|\Lambda^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le& C\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^\theta \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1-\theta}\\ \le& C\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^\theta \|\omega\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1-\theta},\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = \frac{\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3}{p}-\alpha}{\frac{5}{2}-\frac{3}{p}}$.

Then, the Young inequality and the definition of space $\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}$ yield the first desired estimate (2.3) in Lemma 2.8.

Now we need to show the second desired estimate. By the Littlewood-Paley decomposition and Bernstein inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} &\le \|\Delta_{-1}\Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\Delta_k \Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ &\le C\|\Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{k\alpha}\,\|\Delta_k u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ &\le C\|\Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1+\frac{3}{p})k}\,\|\Delta_k \omega\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}\\ &\le C\|\Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C\,\|\omega\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1+\frac{3}{p})k}.\end{aligned}$$

It is noted that for any $\alpha \in [0,1)$ and some $p \in [2,\infty)$ satisfing $\alpha - 1 + \frac{3}{p} < 0$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1+\frac{3}{p})k} < \infty.$$

This combined with estimate (2.3) yields

$$\|\Lambda_v^\alpha u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \le C(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^3)}).$$

We complete the proof of Lemma 2.8. □

2.3. **Losing a priori estimates for the anisotropy transport-diffusion equations.** This subsection is mainly devoted to the proof of losing a priori estimates for the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho + (u\cdot\nabla)\rho - \partial_{zz}^2\rho = f + \partial_z g, \quad (t,\mathbf{x})\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \operatorname{div} u = 0,\\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

under the condition that the vertical first derivative of u satisfies

$$\sup_{2\le q<\infty}\int_0^T \frac{\|\partial_z u(t)\|_{L^q}^2}{q^{3/4}}\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_0^T \Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}(t)\Big\|_{L^\infty}^2\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty, \tag{2.6}$$

and the vorticity of u satisfies

$$\int_0^T \|\omega(t)\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\,\mathrm{d}t < \infty. \tag{2.7}$$

Now, let us begin with the statement of losing a priori estimates for the ordinary transport equation. More precisely, we have

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\sigma\in(-1,1)$ and $p\in[2,\infty]$. Assume that ρ satisfies the following transport equation*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t\rho + (u\cdot\nabla)\rho = f, \quad (t,\mathbf{x})\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^3,\\ \operatorname{div} u = 0,\\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0 \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

with initial data $\rho_0\in B^\sigma_{p,\infty}$ and force term $f\in L^2_T(B^\sigma_{p,\infty})$. Assume in addition that (2.6) and (2.7) hold. Then, there exists a positive constant $C=C(p,\sigma,T)$ such that the following estimates hold for all small enough $\epsilon>0$:

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\rho(t)\|^2_{B^{\sigma_t}_{p,\infty}} \le CU(T)e^{\frac{CU^3(T)}{\epsilon^3}\left(\int_0^T V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^4}\left(\|\rho_0\|^2_{B^\sigma_{p,\infty}} + \int_0^T \|f(\tau)\|^2_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right),$$

where $V(t):=1+\|\omega(t)\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}$ and

$$U(t) := \exp\Big(\sup_{2\le q<\infty}\int_0^t C\Big(1+\frac{\|\partial_z u(\tau)\|^2_{L^q}}{q^{3/4}}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau + C\int_0^t \Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}(\tau)\Big\|^2_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big).$$

In particular, we have for all small enough $\epsilon>0$:

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty_T(B^{\sigma-\epsilon}_{p,\infty})} \le CU^{\frac12}(T)e^{\frac{CU^3(T)}{\epsilon^3}\left(\int_0^T V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^4}\big(\|\rho_0\|_{B^\sigma_{p,\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^2_T(B^\sigma_{p,\infty})}\big).$$

Here and in what follows, for any $t\in[0,T]$,

$$\sigma_t = \sigma - \eta\int_0^t V(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau, \quad \eta = \frac{\epsilon}{\int_0^T V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t}.$$

Proof. Applying Δ_q to the equation satisfied by ρ leads to

$$\partial_t\Delta_q\rho + (S_{q+1}u\cdot\nabla)\Delta_q\rho = \Delta_q f + R_q(u,\rho),$$

with

$$R_q(u,\rho) = (S_{q+1}u\cdot\nabla)\Delta_q\rho - \Delta_q\big((u\cdot\nabla)\rho\big).$$

Multiplying the above equation by $|\Delta_q\rho|^{p-2}\Delta_q\rho$, integrating by parts and using Hölder's inequality yield

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Delta_q\rho(t)\|^p_{L^p} \le \|\Delta_q f\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|^{p-1}_{L^p} + \|R_q(u,\rho)\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|^{p-1}_{L^p}$$

whence,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Delta_q\rho(t)\|_{L^p}^2 \le 2\big(\|\Delta_q f\|_{L^p}^2+\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}^2+\|R_q(u,\rho)\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}\big).$$

By using the commutator estimate (5.2), for any $2\le q<\infty$, we have

$$\|R_q(u,\rho)\|_{L^p}\le C\big(\|S_{q+5}\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}\big\|\Delta_{q'}\rho\big\|_{L^p}+2^{-q\sigma_\tau}\sqrt{q+2}\,\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\,\|\rho\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}\big) \tag{2.9}$$

Thanks to the divergence-free condition, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_{q+5}\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\le& C\|S_{q+5}\partial_z u\|_{L^\infty}+C\|u_r/r\|_{L^\infty}+C\|S_{q+5}\omega\|_{L^\infty}\\ \le& C\|S_{q+5}\partial_z u\|_{L^\infty}+C\|u_r/r\|_{L^\infty}+C\sqrt{q}\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where we have used the fact that

$$\|S_q f\|_{L^\infty}\le C2^{\frac{2q}{q}}\|f\|_{L^q}\le C\sqrt{q}\,\|f\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}.$$

Plugging (2.10) in (2.9) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\|R_q(u,\rho)\|_{L^p}\\ \le& C\big(\|S_{q+5}\partial_z u\|_{L^\infty}+\|u_r/r\|_{L^\infty}+\sqrt{q}\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\big)\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}\big\|\Delta_{q'}\rho\big\|_{L^p}+C2^{-q\sigma_\tau}\sqrt{q+2}\,\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\,\|\rho\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}\\ \le& C\big(\|S_{q+5}\partial_z u\|_{L^\infty}+\|u_r/r\|_{L^\infty}\big)\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}\big\|\Delta_{q'}\rho\big\|_{L^p}+C2^{-q\sigma_\tau}\sqrt{q+2}\,\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\,\|\rho\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Delta_q\rho(t)\|_{L^p}^2\le& C\Big(\|\Delta_q f\|_{L^p}^2+\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}^2+2^{-q\sigma_\tau}\sqrt{q+2}\,\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\,\|\rho\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}\\ &+\big(\|S_{q+5}\partial_z u\|_{L^\infty}+\|u_r/r\|_{L^\infty}\big)\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}\big\|\Delta_{q'}\rho\big\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

By using Young's inequality, we easily find that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(\|S_{q+5}\partial_z u\|_{L^\infty}+\|u_r/r\|_{L^\infty}\big)\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}\big\|\Delta_{q'}\rho\big\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}\\ \le& C\Big(\frac{\|\partial_z u\|_{L^q}^2}{q^{3/4}}+\|u_r/r\|_{L^\infty}^2\Big)\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}^2+Cq^{3/4}\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}\|\Delta_{q'}\rho\|_{L^p}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Recall that, for any $t\in[0,T]$,

$$U(t)=\exp\Big(\sup_{2\le q<\infty}\int_0^t C\Big(1+\frac{\|\partial_z u(\tau)\|_{L^q}^2}{q^{3/4}}\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau+C\int_0^t\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}(\tau)\Big\|_{L^\infty}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big).$$

Plugging bound (2.13) into (2.12) and then performing the Gronwall inequality to the resulting inequality, we readily have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta_q\rho(t)\|_{L^p}^2\le& U(t)\Big(\|\Delta_q\rho_0\|_{L^p}^2+C\int_0^t\|\Delta_q f(\tau)\|_{L^p}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau\\ &+C\int_0^t 2^{-q\sigma_\tau}\sqrt{q+2}\,\|\omega(\tau)\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\,\|\rho(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}\|\Delta_q\rho(\tau)\|_{L^p}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\\ &+C\int_0^t(q+2)^{\frac{3}{4}}\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}\|\Delta_{q'}\rho(\tau)\|_{L^p}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big)\\ :=& J_0+J_1+J_2+J_3.\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Multiplying (2.14) by $2^{2(q+2)\sigma_t}$, we have

$$2^{2(q+2)\sigma_t}\|\Delta_q\rho(t)\|_{L^p}^2 \le 2^{2(q+2)\sigma_t}(J_0+J_1+J_2+J_3). \tag{2.15}$$

For the first term on the left hand side of (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}2^{2(q+2)\sigma_t}J_0 \le& CU(t)2^{2(q+2)\sigma}\|\Delta_q\rho_0\|_{L^p}^2 2^{-2\eta(2+q)\int_0^t V(\tau)\,\mathrm{d}\tau}\\ \le& CU(t)\left\|\rho_0\right\|_{B^\sigma_{p,\infty}}^2.\end{aligned}$$

For the second term on the left hand side of (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}2^{2(q+2)\sigma_t}J_1 \le& CU(t)\int_0^t 2^{2(q+2)\sigma_\tau}\|\Delta_q f(\tau)\|_{L^p}^2 2^{-2\eta(2+q)\int_\tau^t V(\tau')\,\mathrm{d}\tau'}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\\ \le& CU(t)\int_0^t \|f(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau.\end{aligned}$$

For $2^{2(2+q)\sigma_t}J_2$, we get that if $q+2\ge\left(\frac{2CU(T)}{\eta\log 2}\right)^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}2^{2(2+q)\sigma_t}J_2 \le& CU(t)\int_0^t \sqrt{q+2}\left\|\omega(\tau)\right\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}2^{-2\eta(2+q)\int_\tau^t V(\tau')\,\mathrm{d}\tau'}\|\rho(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}2^{q\sigma_\tau}\left\|\Delta_q\rho(\tau)\right\|_{L^p}\mathrm{d}\tau\\ \le&\frac{1}{4}\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\|\rho(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when $q+2\ge\left(\frac{2CU(T)}{\eta\log 2}\right)^4$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned}2^{2(2+q)\sigma_t}J_3 \le& C\int_0^t (q+2)^{\frac{3}{4}}2^{-2\eta(2+q)\int_\tau^t V(\tau')\,\mathrm{d}\tau'}2^{2q\sigma_\tau}\|\Delta_q\rho(\tau)\|_{L^p}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau\\ \le&\frac{1}{4}\sup_{0\le\tau\le t}\|\rho(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}^2.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is noted that if

$$q+2<\Big(\frac{2CU(T)}{\eta\log 2}\Big)^4,$$

both terms $2^{2(2+q)s_t}J_2$, $2^{2(2+q)s_t}J_3$ can be bounded by

$$C\Big(\frac{U(T)}{\eta}\Big)^3\int_0^t V(\tau)\|\rho(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau.$$

So finally, taking the supremum over $q\ge -1$ in (2.15) and using these above estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\rho(t)\|_{B^{\sigma_t}_{p,\infty}}^2\\ \le& CU(t)\|\rho_0\|_{B^\sigma_{p,\infty}}^2+CU(t)\int_0^t\|f(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau+C\Big(\frac{U(T)}{\eta}\Big)^3\int_0^t V(\tau)\|\rho(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Performing the Gronwall inequality and using the definition of η, we eventually get the desired losing estimates. □

Based on this proposition, we can get a similar result for the anisotropy transport-diffusion system (2.5). More precisely, we have

Proposition 2.10. *Let* $\sigma\in(-1,1)$ *and* $p\in[2,\infty)$. *Assume that* ρ *is a smooth solution to system (2.5) with* $\rho_0\in B^\sigma_{p,\infty}$, $f\in L^2_T(B^\sigma_{p,\infty})$ *and* $g\in L^2_T(B^\sigma_{p,\infty})$ *and* u *satisfies the same conditions as in Proposition 2.9. Then, the following estimates hold for all small enough* ϵ:

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\rho(t)\|_{B^{\sigma_t}_{p,\infty}}^2\le CU(T)e^{\frac{CU^3(T)}{\epsilon^3}\left(\int_0^T V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^4}\left(\|\rho_0\|_{B^\sigma_{p,\infty}}^2+\int_0^T\|f(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}^2+\|g(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau\right).$$

In particular, we have for all small enough $\epsilon > 0$:

$$\|\rho\|_{L^\infty_T(B^{\sigma-\epsilon}_{p,\infty})} \leq CU^{\frac{1}{2}}(T)e^{\frac{CU^3(T)}{\epsilon^3}\left(\int_0^T V(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right)^4}\big(\|\rho_0\|_{B^\sigma_{p,\infty}} + \|f\|_{L^2_T(B^\sigma_{p,\infty})} + \|g\|_{L^2_T(B^\sigma_{p,\infty})}\big).$$

Here the constant $C > 0$ depends on p, σ, T, and $V(T)$, $U(T)$ are defined as in Proposition 2.9.

Proof. Applying Δ_q to the first equation of system (2.5). With the notation introduced in Lemma E.2, we have

$$\partial_t\Delta_q\rho + (S_{q-1}u\cdot\nabla)\Delta_q\rho - \nu\partial^2_{zz}\Delta_q\rho = \Delta_q f + \partial_z\Delta_q g + R_q(u,\rho).$$

Multiplying this inequality by $|\Delta_q\rho|^{p-2}\Delta_q\rho$, $2 \leq p < \infty$ and integrating the resulting equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{p}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Delta_q\rho(t)\|^p_{L^p} + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2}\big\|\partial_z|\Delta_q\rho|^{\frac{p}{2}}(t)\big\|^2_{L^2}\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q f|\Delta_q\rho|^{p-2}\Delta_q\rho\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}R_q(u,\rho)|\Delta_q\rho|^{p-2}\Delta_q\rho\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - (p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Delta_q g|\Delta_q\rho|^{p-2}\partial_z\Delta_q\rho\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\\
:=&I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

By the Hölder inequality, we have

$$I_1 \leq \|\Delta_q f\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|^{p-1}_{L^p}.$$

In a similar fashion as (2.11), one can conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 \leq&\|R_q(u,\rho)\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|^{p-1}_{L^p}\\
\leq&C\big(\|S_{q+5}\partial_z u\|_{L^\infty} + \|u_r/r\|_{L^\infty}\big)\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 5}\left\|\Delta_{q'}\rho\right\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|^{p-1}_{L^p}\\
&+ C2^{-q\sigma_\tau}\sqrt{q+2}\,\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\,\|\rho\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}\|\Delta_q\rho\|^{p-1}_{L^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

We use the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality to estimate I_3 as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3 \leq&\frac{2(p-1)}{p}\|\Delta_q g\|_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}_{L^p}\left\|\partial_z|\Delta_q\rho|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\|_{L^2}\\
\leq&\frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\left\|\partial_z|\Delta_q\rho|^{\frac{p}{2}}\right\|^2_{L^2} + Cp\|\Delta_q g\|^2_{L^p}\|\Delta_q\rho\|^{p-2}_{L^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Plugging the last three estimates into (2.16), we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Delta_q\rho(t)\|^2_{L^p} \leq&C\big(\|\Delta_q f\|^2_{L^p} + \|\Delta_q\rho\|^2_{L^p} + 2^{-q\sigma_\tau}\sqrt{q+2}\,\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\,\|\rho\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}\|\Delta_q\rho\|_{L^p}\\
&+ C\Big(\frac{\|\partial_z u\|^2_{L^q}}{q^{3/4}} + \|u_r/r\|^2_{L^\infty}\Big)\|\Delta_q\rho\|^2_{L^p} + Cq^{3/4}\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 5}\|\Delta_{q'}\rho\|^2_{L^p} + p\|\Delta_q g\|^2_{L^p}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

It is now easy to conclude the desired result of this proposition. In fact, it is just a matter of arguing exactly as in Proposition 2.9. □

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section, we aim at establishing the global a priori estimates needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1. We first prove the natural energy estimates associated to system (1.2). In the second step, we present the control of some stronger norms such as $\|\omega\|_{L^\infty_t(\sqrt{\mathbb{L}})}$ and $\sup\limits_{2\leq p<\infty}\displaystyle\int_0^t\frac{\|\partial_z u(\tau)\|^2_{L^p}}{p^{3/4}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau$. In the third step, we prove the global Lipschitz estimates of the vector field u by making good use of losing estimates. Finally, with the help of the special structure of system (1.2) and commutator estimates in Lemma E.2, we show the $H^s \times H^s$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$ a priori estimates of (u, b).

3.1. **The natural energy estimates.** Now, let us begin with the natural energy estimates of (u, b).

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that* $(u_0, b_0) \in L^2 \times L^2$. *Let* (u, b) *be the smooth solution of system* (1.2). *Then, for any* $t \geq 0$, *there holds*

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\int_0^t \|\partial_z u(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 \, \mathrm{d}\tau \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b_0\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Although the proof of this proposition is standard, we give the proof for reader's convenience. Taking the L^2 inner product of the velocity equation with u and using the divergence-free condition of u, we find that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_z u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \big((b\cdot\nabla)b\big)\cdot u \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.18}$$

In a similar way, we can get L^2-estimate of b:

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \big((b\cdot\nabla)u\big)\cdot b \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.19}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \big((b\cdot\nabla)b\big)\cdot u \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \big((b\cdot\nabla)u\big)\cdot b \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

This together with (3.18) and (3.19) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|b(t)\|_{L^2}^2\big) + \|\partial_z u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 = 0.$$

Integrating the equality with respect to time t leads to the desired estimate. □

Let us point out that the axisymmetric assumption is not needed in the proposition above. However, we need to use the structural assumptions to show the strong estimates of (u, b). We always assume that

$$u = u_r e_r + u_z e_z \quad \text{and} \quad b = b_\theta e_\theta \tag{3.20}$$

in the remainder parts of this section.

3.2. **Strong a priori estimates.** This subsection is devoted to obtaining some strong a priori estimates of (u, b). Let us start with the maximum principle of quantity $\frac{b_\theta}{r}$ which solves the homogenous transport equation.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\frac{b_0}{r} \in L^2 \cap L^\infty$. *Assume that* (u, b) *is the smooth solution of system* (1.2) *satisfying* (3.20). *Then, for all* $p \in [2, \infty]$, *there holds*

$$\Big\|\frac{b_\theta(t)}{r}\Big\|_{L^p} \leq \Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|_{L^2\cap L^\infty} \quad \textit{for any} \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\partial_t b_\theta + (u\cdot\nabla)b_\theta = \frac{u_r}{r}b_\theta,$$

we easily find that $\frac{b_\theta}{r}$ satisfies the following homogeneous transport equation

$$\partial_t\Big(\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big) + (u\cdot\nabla)\Big(\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big) = 0.$$

Moreover, by the divergence-free condition of u, we have

$$\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}(t)\Big\|_{L^p} \leq \Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|_{L^p}, \quad \text{for all} \quad p \in [2, \infty].$$

Therefore, we finish the proof of this proposition by using the interpolation inequality. □

Next, we show the $L^{3,1}$-estimate of the physical quantity $\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}$, which plays an important role in the study of axisymmetric flow without swirl.

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that* $\frac{\omega_0}{r} \in L^{p,q}$ *with* $1 < p < \infty$, $1 \le q \le \infty$ *and* $\frac{b_0}{r} \in L^2 \cap L^\infty$*. Let* (u, b) *be the smooth solution of system* (1.2) *satisfying* (3.20)*. Then, for any* $t \ge 0$*, the following estimate holds*

$$\Big\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}(t)\Big\|_{L^{p,q}} \le C\Big(\Big\|\frac{\omega_0}{r}\Big\|_{L^{p,q}} + \sqrt{t}\Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|^2_{L^2\cap L^\infty}\Big).$$

In particular, we have

$$\Big\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}(t)\Big\|^2_{L^2} + \int_0^t \Big\|\partial_z\Big(\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\Big)(s)\Big\|^2_{L^2}\,\mathrm{d}s \le C\Big(\Big\|\frac{\omega_0}{r}\Big\|^2_{L^2} + t\Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|^4_{L^2\cap L^\infty}\Big). \tag{3.21}$$

Proof. We observe that the quantity $\Gamma := \frac{\omega_\theta}{r}$ solves the following equation

$$\partial_t\Gamma + (u\cdot\nabla)\Gamma - \partial^2_{zz}\Gamma = -\frac{\partial_z(b_\theta^2)}{r^2}. \tag{3.22}$$

Multiplying (3.22) by $|\Gamma|^{p-2}\Gamma$ with $2 \le p < \infty$ and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we readily have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Gamma(t)\|^p_{L^p} + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2}\big\|\partial_z|\Gamma(t)|^{\frac{p}{2}}\big\|^2_{L^2} &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\partial_z\Big(\frac{b_\theta^2}{r^2}\Big)|\Gamma|^{p-2}\Gamma\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\\ &= (p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{b_\theta^2}{r^2}|\Gamma|^{p-2}\partial_z\Gamma\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

For the integral term in the last line of (3.23), by the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{b_\theta^2}{r^2}|\Gamma|^{p-2}\partial_z\Gamma\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} &\le (p-1)\Big\|\Big(\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big)^2\Big\|_{L^p}\big\||\Gamma|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\big\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big\||\Gamma|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\partial_z\Gamma\big\|_{L^2}\\ &\le \frac{2(p-1)}{p}\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|^2_{L^{2p}}\|\Gamma\|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}_{L^p}\big\|\partial_z|\Gamma|^{\frac{p}{2}}\big\|_{L^2}\\ &\le \frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\big\|\partial_z|\Gamma|^{\frac{p}{2}}\big\|^2_{L^2} + Cp\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|^4_{L^{2p}}\|\Gamma\|^{p-2}_{L^p}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (3.23) and Proposition 3.2 gives that for all $p \in [2, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{p}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Gamma(t)\|^p_{L^p} + \frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\big\|\partial_z|\Gamma(t)|^{\frac{p}{2}}\big\|^2_{L^2} \le& Cp\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|^4_{L^{2p}}\|\Gamma\|^{p-2}_{L^p}\\ \le& Cp\Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|^4_{L^{2p}}\|\Gamma\|^{p-2}_{L^p}\\ \le& Cp\Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|^4_{L^2\cap L^\infty}\|\Gamma\|^{p-2}_{L^p}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

It follows that for all $p \in [2, \infty)$,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\Gamma(t)\|^2_{L^p} \le Cp\Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|^4_{L^2\cap L^\infty}.$$

After integrating this inequality with respect to time t, we get

$$\|\Gamma(t)\|^2_{L^p} \le \|\Gamma(0)\|^2_{L^p} + Cpt\Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|^4_{L^2\cap L^\infty}. \tag{3.25}$$

Therefore, by the interpolation theorem, we finally have

$$\|\Gamma(t)\|_{L^{p,q}} \le C\Big(\|\Gamma(0)\|_{L^{p,q}} + \sqrt{t}\Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|^2_{L^2\cap L^\infty}\Big).$$

Choosing $p = 2$ in (3.24) and integrating with respect to time t yield estimate (3.21), and thus we completes the proof of Proposition 3.3. □

Based on the estimate of $\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}$, we further establish the estimate of b and vorticity ω. Firstly, we focus on the maximum principle of b_θ.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that* $\frac{\omega_0}{r}\in L^{3,1}$, $b_0\in L^2\cap L^\infty$ *and* $\frac{b_0}{r}\in L^2\cap L^\infty$. *Let* (u,b) *be the smooth solution of system* (1.2) *satisfying* (3.20). *Then, for any* $t\geq 0$, *there holds*

$$\|b_\theta(t)\|_{L^p}\leq e^{C\left(t\left\|\frac{\omega_0}{r}\right\|_{L^{3,1}}+t^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\frac{b_0}{r}\right\|^2_{L^2\cap L^\infty}\right)}\|b_0\|_{L^2\cap L^\infty}\,,\quad \textit{for each}\quad p\in[2,\infty].$$

Proof. Multiplying the third equation of system (1.3) by $|b_\theta|^{p-2}b_\theta$, $2\leq p<\infty$ and performing integration in space, we get

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|b_\theta(t)\|^p_{L^p}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{u_r}{r}|b_\theta|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.$$

For the right hand side term, we deduce by the Hölder inequality that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{u_r}{r}|b_\theta|^p\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\leq\left\|\frac{u_r}{r}\right\|_{L^\infty}\|b_\theta\|^p_{L^p}\,.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|b_\theta(t)\|_{L^p}\leq\left\|\frac{u_r}{r}\right\|_{L^\infty}\|b_\theta\|_{L^p}\,.$$

The Gronwall lemma yields that

$$\|b_\theta(t)\|_{L^p}\leq e^{\int_0^t\|\frac{u_r}{r}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}\tau}\|b_\theta(0)\|_{L^p}\,. \tag{3.26}$$

To estimate $\left\|\frac{u_r}{r}\right\|_{L^1_t(L^\infty)}$, we use the the pointwise estimate $\left|\frac{u_r}{r}\right|\leq\frac{1}{|\cdot|^2}*\left|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\right|$ and Lemma 2.3 to obtain

$$\left\|\frac{u_r}{r}\right\|_{L^\infty}\leq\left\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\right\|_{L^{3,1}}. \tag{3.27}$$

By inserting estimates (3.27) into (3.26) and using Proposition 3.3, we get

$$\|b_\theta(t)\|_{L^p}\leq e^{C\left(t\left\|\frac{\omega_0}{r}\right\|_{L^{3,1}}+t^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\frac{b_0}{r}\right\|^2_{L^2\cap L^\infty}\right)}\|b_0\|_{L^p}\,. \tag{3.28}$$

For $p=\infty$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\|b_\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty}&\leq\|b_\theta(0)\|_{L^\infty}+\int_0^t\left\|\left(\frac{u_r}{r}b_\theta\right)(s)\right\|_{L^\infty}\mathrm{d}s\\&\leq\|b_0\|_{L^\infty}+\int_0^t\left\|\frac{u_r(s)}{r}\right\|_{L^\infty}\|b_\theta(s)\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned}$$

By the similar argument as above, we deduce that

$$\|b_\theta(t)\|_{L^\infty}\leq e^{C\left(t\left\|\frac{\omega_0}{r}\right\|_{L^{3,1}}+t^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\frac{b_0}{r}\right\|^2_{L^2\cap L^\infty}\right)}\|b_0\|_{L^\infty}\,.$$

This combined with (3.28) yields the desired result. □

With the estimates established above in hand, we can bound $\|\omega_\theta\|_{L^\infty_t(\sqrt{\mathbb{L}})}$ by using the smoothing effect of the vertical diffusion.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that* $b_0\in L^2\cap L^\infty$, $\frac{b_0}{r}\in L^2\cap L^\infty$, $\omega_0\in\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}$ *and* $\frac{\omega_0}{r}\in L^{3,1}$. *If* (u,b) *is the smooth solution of system* (1.2) *satisfying* (3.20), *then for any* $t\geq 0$, *there holds*

$$\|\omega_\theta(t)\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\leq C. \tag{3.29}$$

Here the constant C *depends only on* t, $\|b_0\|_{L^2\cap L^\infty}$, $\left\|\frac{b_0}{r}\right\|_{L^2\cap L^\infty}$, $\|\omega_0\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}$ *and* $\left\|\frac{\omega_0}{r}\right\|_{L^{3,1}}$.

Proof. Multiplying the vorticity equation (1.4) by $|\omega_\theta|^{p-2}\omega_\theta$, $2 \le p < \infty$ and integrating the resulting equation over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\omega_\theta(t)\|_{L^p}^p + \frac{4(p-1)}{p^2}\big\|\partial_z|\omega_\theta(t)|^{\frac{p}{2}}\big\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{u_r}{r}|\omega_\theta|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\partial_z(b_\theta^2)}{r}|\omega_\theta|^{p-2}\omega_\theta\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.30}$$

For the first term on the right hand side of the above equality, we deduce by the Hölder inequality that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{u_r}{r}|\omega_\theta|^p\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \le \Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\|\omega_\theta\|_{L^p}^p. \tag{3.31}$$

As for the second term, integrating by parts leads to

$$-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\partial_z(b_\theta^2)}{r}|\omega_\theta|^{p-2}\omega_\theta\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} =(p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}|\omega_\theta|^{p-2}\partial_z\omega_\theta\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}. \tag{3.32}$$

Moreover, by the Hölder inequality and the Young inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&(p-1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}|\omega_\theta|^{p-2}\partial_z\omega_\theta\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\\
\le&(p-1)\Big\|\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}\Big\|_{L^p}\big\||\omega_\theta|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\big\|_{L^{\frac{2p}{p-2}}}\big\||\omega_\theta|^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\partial_z\omega_\theta\big\|_{L^2}\\
\le&\frac{2(p-1)}{p}\Big\|\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}\Big\|_{L^p}\|\omega_\theta\|_{L^p}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\big\|\partial_z|\omega_\theta|^{\frac{p}{2}}\big\|_{L^2}\\
\le&\frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\big\|\partial_z|\omega_\theta|^{\frac{p}{2}}\big\|_{L^2}^2 + Cp\Big\|\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}\Big\|_{L^p}^2\|\omega_\theta\|_{L^p}^{p-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.30), (3.31) and (3.32) yields

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|\omega_\theta(t)\|_{L^p}^2 \le C\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\|\omega_\theta\|_{L^p}^2 + Cp\Big\|\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}\Big\|_{L^p}^2.$$

Hence, the Gronwall lemma ensures that

$$\|\omega_\theta(t)\|_{L^p}^2 \le e^{C\int_0^t\|\frac{u_r}{r}(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big(\|\omega_\theta(0)\|_{L^p}^2 + Cp\int_0^t\Big\|\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}(\tau)\Big\|_{L^p}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big). \tag{3.33}$$

According to Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.4, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t\Big\|\frac{b_\theta^2(\tau)}{r}\Big\|_{L^p}^2\,\mathrm{d}\tau &\le \int_0^t\|b_\theta(\tau)\|_{L^{2p}}^4\,\mathrm{d}\tau + \int_0^t\Big\|\frac{b_\theta(\tau)}{r}\Big\|_{L^{2p}}^4\,\mathrm{d}\tau\\
&\le Cte^{C\left(t\left\|\frac{\omega_0}{r}\right\|_{L^{3,1}}+t^{\frac{3}{2}}\left\|\frac{b_0}{r}\right\|_{L^2\cap L^\infty}^2\right)}\|b_0\|_{L^2\cap L^\infty}^4 + Ct\Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|_{L^2\cap L^\infty}^4\\
&\le C.
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.27) and Proposition 3.3, we know that

$$\int_0^t\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}(\tau)\Big\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \le C,$$

where the constant C doesn't depend on p. Inserting all these estimates into (3.33), we get for each $2 \le p < \infty$,

$$\|\omega_\theta(t)\|_{L^p} \le C(\|\omega_0\|_{L^p} + \sqrt{p}),$$

which implies the desired estimate (3.29). $\square$

Proposition 3.5 together with the well-known fact that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^p} \le C\frac{p^2}{p-1}\|\omega\|_{L^p}$ for $p \in (1,\infty)$ yields that $\sup\limits_{2\le p<\infty}\dfrac{\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^p}}{p\sqrt{p}}$ is locally bounded in time. But, the growth rate $p\sqrt{p}$ goes far beyond the

Osgood type theorem. This induces us to improve the regularity of ∇u by using the vertical smooth effect for the anisotropy system, which is the heart in our proof.

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that* $b_0 \in L^2 \cap L^\infty$, $\frac{b_0}{r} \in L^2 \cap L^\infty$, $\omega_0 \in \sqrt{\mathbb{L}}$ *and* $\frac{\omega_0}{r} \in L^{3,1}$. *Let* (u, b) *be the smooth solution of system* (1.2) *satisfying* (3.20). *Then, for any* $t \geq 0$, *the following estimate holds*

$$\sup_{2\leq p<\infty} \int_0^t \sum_{q\geq 0} 2^{qs} \frac{\|u_q(\tau)\|_{L^p}}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \leq C, \quad \textit{for} \quad s \in (1,2). \tag{3.34}$$

In particular, we have

$$\sup_{2\leq p<\infty} \int_0^t \frac{\|\partial_z u(\tau)\|_{L^p}^2}{p^{\frac{3}{4}}} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \leq C. \tag{3.35}$$

Here constants $C > 0$ *depend on* t, $\|b_0\|_{L^2\cap L^\infty}$, $\|\frac{b_0}{r}\|_{L^2\cap L^\infty}$, $\|\omega_0\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}$ *and* $\|\frac{\omega_0}{r}\|_{L^{3,1}}$.

Proof. Applying operator $\Delta_q^v \mathcal{P}$ to the first equation of (1.2) and using Duhamel formula, we get

$$u_q(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{t\Delta_v} u_q(0) - \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta_v} \Delta_q^v \mathcal{P}\big((u\cdot\nabla)u\big)(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \,\mathrm{d}\tau + \int_0^t e^{(t-\tau)\Delta_v} \Delta_q^v \mathcal{P}\big((b\cdot\nabla)b\big)(\tau, \mathbf{x}) \,\mathrm{d}\tau,$$

where $u_q = \Delta_q^v u$ and $\mathcal{P}$ is the Leray projection on divergence free vector fields.

Notice that

$$(u\cdot\nabla)u = \omega \times u + \frac{1}{2}\nabla|u|^2$$

whence,

$$\mathcal{P}\big((u\cdot\nabla)u\big) = \mathcal{P}(\omega\times u).$$

According to Lemma 2.6, for $q \geq 0$, we have

$$\|e^{t\Delta_v}\Delta_q^v f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \big\|\|e^{t\Delta_v}\Delta_q^v f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_v)}\big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_h^2)} \leq Ce^{-ct2^{2q}} \|\Delta_q^v f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Therefore, for $q \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_q\|_{L_t^1(L^p)} \leq& C2^{-2q}\|u_q(0)\|_{L^p} + Cp2^{-2q}\int_0^t \big\|\Delta_q^v(\omega\times u)(\tau)\big\|_{L^p} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ Cp2^{-2q}\int_0^t \big\|\Delta_q^v\big((b\cdot\nabla)b\big)(\tau)\big\|_{L^p} \,\mathrm{d}\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the above inequality by 2^{qs} and summing over $q \geq 0$, we readily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{q\geq 0} 2^{qs}\|u_q\|_{L_t^1(L^p)} \leq& C\sum_{q\geq 0} 2^{q(s-2)}\|u_q(0)\|_{L^p} + Cp\int_0^t \sum_{q\geq 0} 2^{q(s-2)}\big\|\Delta_q^v(\omega\times u)(\tau)\big\|_{L^p} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &+ Cp\int_0^t \sum_{q\geq 0} 2^{q(s-2)}\big\|\Delta_q^v\big((b\cdot\nabla)b\big)(\tau)\big\|_{L^p} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ :=& I_1 + I_2 + I_3.\end{aligned}$$

First of all, the Hölder inequality and the Sobelev inequality allow us to conclude that for $s < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 \leq C\|u_0\|_{L^p} \leq& C(\|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|u_0\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \leq& C(\|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|\omega_0\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}).\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

To deal with I_2, arguing as for proving (3.36), we get that for $s < 2$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{q\geq 0} 2^{q(s-2)}\big\|\Delta_q^v(\omega\times u)\big\|_{L^p} \leq& C\|\omega\times u\|_{L^p} \\ \leq& C\|\omega\|_{L^p}\|u\|_{L^\infty} \\ \leq& C\|\omega\|_{L^p}\big(\|u\|_{L^2} + \|\omega\|_{L^4}\big).\end{aligned}$$

In consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \le& Cp\big(\|u_0\|_{L^2}+\|\omega\|_{L^\infty_t(\sqrt{\mathbb{L}})}\big)\int_0^t \|\omega(\tau)\|_{L^p}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ \le& Ctp^{\frac{3}{2}}\big(\|u_0\|_{L^2}+\|\omega\|_{L^\infty_t(\sqrt{\mathbb{L}})}\big)\|\omega\|_{L^\infty_t(\sqrt{\mathbb{L}})}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

Finally, we deal with the third parentheses of I_3. Since $(b\cdot\nabla)b=\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}e_r$ in the cylindrical coordinates, we obtain that for $s<2$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\le Cp\int_0^t \Big\|\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}(\tau)\Big\|_{L^p}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \\ &\le Cp\int_0^t\Big(\|b_\theta(\tau)\|_{L^{2p}}^2+\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}(\tau)\Big\|_{L^{2p}}^2\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Putting together (3.36), (3.37) and (3.38) yields that for $s<2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q\ge0}2^{qs}\|u_q\|_{L^1_t(L^p)} \le C(\|u_0\|_{L^2}+\|\omega_0\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}})+Ctp^{\frac{3}{2}}\big(\|u_0\|_{L^2}+\|\omega\|_{L^\infty_t(\sqrt{\mathbb{L}})}\big)\|\omega\|_{L^\infty_t(\sqrt{\mathbb{L}})} \\ +Cp\int_0^t\Big(\|b_\theta(\tau)\|_{L^{2p}}^2+\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}(\tau)\Big\|_{L^{2p}}^2\Big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, multiplying this inequality by $p^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ and using the previous estimates in Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.4 and Proposition 3.5, we have

$$\sup_{2\le p<\infty}\int_0^t\sum_{q\ge0}2^{qs}\frac{\|u_q(\tau)\|_{L^p}}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau \le C,$$

where the positive constant C depends only on t and the initial data.

Now we are ready to prove (3.35). With the help of the Sobolev inequality, for each $2\le p<\infty$, we have

$$\|\partial_z u\|_{L^p}\le C\big\|\Lambda_v^{\frac{3}{4}}u\big\|_{L^p}^{\frac{3}{4}}\big\|\Lambda_v^{\frac{7}{4}}u\big\|_{L^p}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.39}$$

On the one hand, by using the interpolation inequality and Lemma 2.8, we get

$$\big\|\Lambda_v^{\frac{3}{4}}u\big\|_{L^p}\le C\big(\big\|\Lambda_v^{\frac{3}{4}}u\big\|_{L^2}+\big\|\Lambda_v^{\frac{3}{4}}u\big\|_{L^\infty}\big)\le C\big(\|u\|_{L^2}+\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\big).$$

On the other hand, by the Bernstein inequality, we have

$$\big\|\Lambda_v^{\frac{7}{4}}u\big\|_{L^p}\le\sum_{q\ge-1}\|\Delta_q^v\Lambda_v^{\frac{7}{4}}u\|_{L^p}\le C\big(\|u\|_{L^2}+\sum_{q\ge0}2^{\frac{7}{4}q}\|\Delta_q^v u\|_{L^p}\big).$$

Combining these estimates with (3.39) leads to

$$\|\partial_z u\|_{L^p}^4\le C\big(\|u\|_{L^2}+\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\big)^3\big(\|u\|_{L^2}+\sum_{q\ge0}2^{\frac{7}{4}q}\|\Delta_q^v u\|_{L^p}\big).$$

Hence, by using (3.34) with $s=\frac{7}{4}$, we deduce that

$$\int_0^t\frac{\|\partial_z u(\tau)\|_{L^p}^4}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\le C\Big(1+\int_0^t\sum_{q\ge0}2^{\frac{7}{4}q}\frac{\|\Delta_q^v u(\tau)\|_{L^p}}{p^{\frac{3}{2}}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big)<\infty. \tag{3.40}$$

So finally, this combined with Hölder's inequality yields estimate (3.35). □

3.3. **Lipschitz bound of the velocity.** This subsection is devoted to showing the Lipschitz estimate for the velocity field via losing estimates for the anisotropy system.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $(u_0,b_0)\in H^s\times H^s$ with $s>\frac{5}{2}$. Assume that (u_0,b_0) satisfies the conditions stated in Theorem 1.1. Let (u,b) be the smooth solution of system (1.2) satisfying (3.20). Then, for any $T>0$, we have*

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t\le C, \tag{3.41}$$

where the positive constant C depends on T and the initial data.

Proof. Since $(u_0,b_0)\in H^s\times H^s$ with $s>\frac{5}{2}$, we know that the velocity vector field u satisfies (2.6) and (2.7) by (3.27), Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.6. Since $u_0\in H^s$ with $s>\frac{5}{2}$, we have $\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}(0)\|_{L^{3,1}}\le C\|u_0\|_{H^s}$. In terms of Lemma 2.3 and of Proposition 3.3, we get that for $s>\frac{5}{2}$,

$$\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}(t)\Big\|_{L^\infty}\le C\Big\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}(t)\Big\|_{L^{3,1}}\le C\Big\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}(0)\Big\|_{L^{3,1}}\le C\|u_0\|_{H^s}.$$

Therefore, according to Proposition 2.9, for any $0<\sigma<1$, $0\le\tau'<\tau<t\le T$, we have

$$\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty_T(B^{\sigma_\tau}_{\infty,\infty})}\le C(t)\Big\|\frac{b_0}{r}\Big\|_{B^{\sigma}_{\infty,\infty}}, \tag{3.42}$$

and

$$\|b_\theta(\tau)\|^2_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{\infty,\infty}}\le C(t)\Big(\|b_0\|^2_{B^{\sigma}_{\infty,\infty}}+\int_0^t\Big\|\Big(\frac{u_r}{r}b_\theta\Big)(\tau')\Big\|^2_{B^{\sigma_{\tau'}}_{\infty,\infty}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau'\Big).$$

Here and in what follows, $C(t)$ is the smooth explicit function which may be different from line to line.

By using Lemma E.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}b_\theta\Big\|_{B^{\sigma_{\tau'}}_{\infty,\infty}}\le&C\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\|b_\theta\|_{B^{\sigma_{\tau'}}_{\infty,\infty}}+C\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{B^{\sigma_{\tau'}}_{\infty,\infty}}\|b_\theta\|_{L^\infty}\\ \le&C\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{B^{\sigma_{\tau'}}_{\infty,\infty}}\|b_\theta\|_{B^{\sigma_{\tau'}}_{\infty,\infty}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

By Bernstein inequality and Lemma 2.7, we deduce that for some $q\ge\frac{3}{1-\sigma_{\tau'}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{B^{\sigma_{\tau'}}_{\infty,\infty}}=&\sup_{j\ge-1}2^{j\sigma_{\tau'}}\Big\|\Delta_j\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\\ \le&2^{-\sigma_{\tau'}}\Big\|\Delta_{-1}\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty}+\sup_{j\ge0}2^{j(\sigma_{\tau'}+\frac{3}{q}-1)}\Big\|\Delta_j\partial_z\Big(\frac{u_r}{r}\Big)\Big\|_{L^q}\\ \le&C\Big(\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty}+\Big\|\frac{\omega_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{L^q}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

This together with (3.25), (3.27) and Proposition 3.3 yields

$$\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{B^{\sigma_{\tau'}}_{\infty,\infty}}\le C(t).$$

As a result,

$$\|b_\theta(\tau)\|^2_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{\infty,\infty}}\le C(t)\Big(\|b_0\|^2_{B^{\sigma}_{\infty,\infty}}+\int_0^t\|b_\theta(\tau')\|^2_{B^{\sigma_{\tau'}}_{\infty,\infty}}\,\mathrm{d}\tau'\Big).$$

The Gronwall inequality implies that

$$\sup_{0\le\tau<\tau'}\|b_\theta(\tau)\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{\infty,\infty}}\le C(t)\|b_0\|_{B^{\sigma}_{\infty,\infty}}. \tag{3.45}$$

Note that ω_θ solves system (2.5) with $f = \frac{\omega_\theta}{r} u_r$, $g = -\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}$. By using Proposition 2.10, we obtain that for the arbitrary $p \in [2, \infty)$,

$$\|\omega_\theta(t)\|^2_{B^{\sigma_t}_{p,\infty}} \le C(t)\Big(\|\omega_0\|^2_{B^{\sigma}_{p,\infty}} + \int_0^t \Big\|\Big(\frac{u_r}{r}\omega_\theta\Big)(\tau)\Big\|^2_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}} \,\mathrm{d}\tau + \int_0^t \Big\|\Big(\frac{b_\theta}{r} b_\theta\Big)(\tau)\Big\|^2_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}} \,\mathrm{d}\tau\Big). \tag{3.46}$$

Now we need to estimate two terms appearing on the right hand side of inequality (3.46). Since $0 \le \sigma_\tau < 1$, by virtue of Lemma E.1, one has that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\omega_\theta\Big\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}} \le & C\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\|\omega_\theta\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}} + C\|\omega_\theta\|_{L^p}\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{\infty,\infty}} \\ \le & C\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{\infty,\infty}}\|\omega_\theta\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}} \\ \le & C(t)\|\omega_\theta\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}.\end{aligned}$$

In the last line of the inequality above, we have argued similarly as the proof of (3.44) to get

$$\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{\infty,\infty}} \le C(t).$$

By using Lemma E.1 again and (3.42), (3.45), we can also bound $\big\|\big(\frac{b_\theta}{r} b_\theta\big)(\tau)\big\|_{B^{\sigma_\tau}_{p,\infty}}$. Thus, by the Gronwall inequality, we can get for the arbitrary $p \in [2, \infty)$,

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\omega_\theta(t)\|_{B^{\sigma-\epsilon}_{p,\infty}} \le \sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\omega_\theta(t)\|_{B^{\sigma_t}_{p,\infty}} \le C(t). \tag{3.47}$$

Now we are in the position to prove that

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \,\mathrm{d}t < \infty,$$

which plays an important role in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In fact, using the Bernstein inequality, we have that by choosing $\sigma > \epsilon + \frac{3}{p}$ with sufficiently large p,

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \le & \|\Delta_{-1}\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \sum_{j\ge 0}\|\Delta_j \nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \\ \le & C(\|u\|_{L^2} + \sum_{j\ge 0} 2^{-j(\sigma-\epsilon-\frac{3}{p})}2^{j(\sigma-\epsilon)}\|\Delta_j\omega\|_{L^\infty}) \\ \le & C\Big(\|u_0\|_{L^2} + \|\omega\|_{B^{\sigma-\epsilon}_{p,\infty}}\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

It follows by (3.47) that

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \,\mathrm{d}t \le C(T).$$

Since p in (3.47) and ϵ are arbitrary, estimate (3.48) holds for all $\sigma > 0$. On the other hand, we see that the initial datal data $(u_0, b_0) \in H^s \times H^s$ with $s > \frac{5}{2}$, which guarantees that there exists a small enough $\sigma > 0$ such that $b_0 \in B^\sigma_{\infty,\infty}$, $\frac{b_0}{r} \in B^\sigma_{\infty,\infty}$ and $\omega_\theta \in B^\sigma_{p,\infty}$ for all $p \in [2, \infty]$. This completes the proof. ☐

3.4. **High regularity for** (u, b)**.** In this subsection, we are going to derive the $H^s \times H^s$ $(s > \frac{5}{2})$ a priori estimates of (u, b) associated to system (1.2) to gain the loss of regularity which occurs in Proposition 3.7.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $(u_0, b_0) \in H^s \times H^s$, $s > \frac{5}{2}$ satisfying the conditions stated in Theorem 1.1. Assume that (u, b) be the smooth solution of system (1.2). Then, for any $t \ge 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on t and the initial data such that*

$$\Big\|\Big(\omega_\theta, b_\theta, \nabla b_\theta, \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big)(t)\Big\|^2_{H^{s-1}} + \int_0^t \|\partial_z\omega_\theta(\tau)\|^2_{H^{s-1}} \,\mathrm{d}\tau \le C.$$

Proof. Applying Δ_q to equality (1.5) leads to

$$\partial_t \Delta_q \frac{b_\theta}{r} + \big(S_{q+1}u \cdot \nabla\big)\Delta_q \frac{b_\theta}{r} = R_q\Big(u, \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big),$$

where

$$R_q\Big(u, \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big) = \big(S_{q+1}u \cdot \nabla\big)\Delta_q \frac{b_\theta}{r} - \Delta_q\Big(\big(u \cdot \nabla\big)\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big).$$

By taking the L^2-norm to this equation and using Hölder's inequality, we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big\|\Delta_q \frac{b_\theta}{r}(t)\Big\|_{L^2}^2 \le \Big\|R_q\Big(u, \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2}\Big\|\Delta_q \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.49}$$

By (5.1), we have

$$\Big\|R_q\Big(u, \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2} \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{q' \ge q-4} 2^{q-q'}\Big\|\Delta_{q'}\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{L^2} + C\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty} \sum_{|q'-q| \le 4} \|\Delta_{q'}\nabla u\|_{L^2}.$$

Letting $\alpha = s-1$. Plugging this commutator estimate into (3.49) and multiplying the resulting inequality by $2^{2q\alpha}$ and summing up over $q \ge -1$, we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}(t)\Big\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + C\,\|\nabla u\|_{H^\alpha}\,\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\Big\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{H^\alpha}. \tag{3.50}$$

Now we turn to show the estimate of b_θ. Applying operator Δ_q to the third equation of system (1.3), we thus get

$$\partial_t \Delta_q b_\theta + (S_{q+1}u \cdot \nabla)\Delta_q b_\theta = R_q(u, b_\theta) + \Delta_q\Big(\frac{u_r b_\theta}{r}\Big),$$

where

$$R_q(u, b_\theta) = (S_{q+1}u \cdot \nabla)\Delta_q b_\theta - \Delta_q\big((u \cdot \nabla) b_\theta\big).$$

In a similar way as to obtain (3.50), we can get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\,\|b_\theta(t)\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \le C\Big(\,\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\,\|b_\theta\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{H^\alpha}\,\|b_\theta\|_{L^\infty}\,\|b_\theta\|_{H^\alpha} + \Big\|\frac{u_r b_\theta}{r}\Big\|_{H^\alpha}\,\|b_\theta\|_{H^\alpha}\,\Big).$$

Moreover, by using (5.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\,\|b_\theta(t)\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \le & C\Big(\,\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\,\|b_\theta\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \|b_\theta\|_{L^\infty}\,\|\nabla u\|_{H^\alpha}\,\|b_\theta\|_{H^\alpha} \\ & + \|b_\theta\|_{L^\infty}\,\Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{H^\alpha}\,\|b_\theta\|_{H^\alpha} + \Big\|\frac{u_r}{r}\Big\|_{L^\infty}\,\|b_\theta\|_{H^\alpha}^2\,\Big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Finally, in order to get the H^α-estimate of ω_θ, one may apply operator Δ_q to the vorticity equation (1.4) to obtain

$$\partial_t \Delta_q \omega_\theta + (S_{q+1}u \cdot \nabla)\Delta_q \omega_\theta - \partial_{zz}^2 \Delta_q \omega_\theta = R_q(u, \omega_\theta) + \Delta_q\Big(\frac{u_r \omega_\theta}{r}\Big) - \Delta_q\Big(\frac{\partial_z b_\theta^2}{r}\Big),$$

where

$$R_q(u, \omega_\theta) = (S_{q+1}u \cdot \nabla)\Delta_q \omega_\theta - \Delta_q\big((u \cdot \nabla)\omega_\theta\big).$$

By taking the L^2-inner product with $\Delta_q \omega_\theta$ and using the incompressible condition, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\,\|\Delta_q \omega_\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_z \Delta_q \omega_\theta(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\le \|R_q(u, \omega_\theta)\|_{L^2}\,\|\Delta_q \omega_\theta\|_{L^2} + \Big\|\Delta_q\Big(\frac{u_r \omega_\theta}{r}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2}\,\|\Delta_q \omega_\theta\|_{L^2} + \Big\|\Delta_q\Big(\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}\Big)\Big\|_{L^2}\,\|\partial_z \Delta_q \omega_\theta\|_{L^2}\,.\end{aligned}$$

By using (5.1) and Lemma E.1 again, multiplying both sides by $2^{2q\alpha}$ and summing up over $q \geq -1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left\|\omega_\theta(t)\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \left\|\partial_z\omega_\theta(t)\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \\
\leq& C\Big(\left\|\nabla u\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla u\right\|_{H^\alpha}\left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{H^\alpha} + \left\|\frac{u_r\omega_\theta}{r}\right\|_{H^\alpha}\left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{H^\alpha} + \left\|\frac{b_\theta^2}{r}\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2\Big) \\
\leq& C\Big(\left\|\nabla u\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla u\right\|_{H^\alpha}\left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{H^\alpha} + \left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\frac{u_r}{r}\right\|_{H^\alpha}\left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{H^\alpha} \\
&+\left\|\frac{u_r}{r}\right\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \left\|b_\theta\right\|_{L^\infty}^2\left\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \left\|b_\theta\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2\left\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\right\|_{L^\infty}^2\Big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.52}
$$

By putting together these estimates (3.50), (3.51) and (3.52) and using the Young inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\Big\|\Big(\omega_\theta, b_\theta, \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big)(t)\Big\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \left\|\partial_z\omega_\theta(t)\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \\
\leq& C\Big(1 + \left\|\nabla u\right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\|b_\theta\right\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \left\|\frac{b_\theta}{r}\right\|_{L^\infty}^2\Big)\Big\|\Big(\omega_\theta, b_\theta, \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big)\Big\|_{H^\alpha}^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

The facts that $\left\|\nabla u\right\|_{H^\alpha}$ is equivalent to $\left\|\omega_\theta\right\|_{H^\alpha}$ and $|\omega_\theta| \leq |\nabla u|$ are also used in the last inequality.

As a result, by the Gronwall lemma and the estimates in Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.4, we have

$$
\Big\|\Big(\omega_\theta, b_\theta, \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big)(t)\Big\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \int_0^t \left\|\partial_z\omega_\theta(\tau)\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \,\mathrm{d}\tau \leq C\Big\|\Big(\omega_\theta(0), b_\theta(0), \frac{b_\theta}{r}(0)\Big)\Big\|_{H^\alpha}^2 e^{C\int_0^t\left\|\nabla u(\tau)\right\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}\tau}.
$$

This combined with Proposition 3.7 provides

$$
\Big\|\Big(\omega_\theta, b_\theta, \frac{b_\theta}{r}\Big)(t)\Big\|_{H^\alpha}^2 + \int_0^t \left\|\partial_z\omega_\theta(\tau)\right\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \,\mathrm{d}\tau \leq C. \tag{3.53}
$$

It remains for us to show estimate of $\|\nabla b_\theta\|_{H^\alpha}$. The classical commutator estimate helps us to conclude that

$$
\|\nabla b_\theta\|_{H^\alpha}^2 \leq C\|\nabla b_\theta(0)\|_{H^\alpha}^2 e^{\int_0^t\|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{H^s}\,\mathrm{d}\tau}.
$$

Thanks to estimate (3.53), we finally obtain the desired result $\|b_\theta\|_{H^s} < \infty$ and then we completes the proof of the proposition. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we restrict our attention to prove Theorem 1.1. Firstly, we focus on the existence statement of Theorem 1.1. Let us begin with the following proposition which is about the local well-posedness for system (1.2).

Proposition 4.1. *Let* $(u_0, b_0) \in H^s \times H^s$ *with* $s > \frac{5}{2}$. *Then, there exists a maximal time* $T > 0$ *depending only on* $\left\|(u_0, b_0)\right\|_{H^s}$ *such that system* (1.2) *admits a unique local-in-time solution* (u, b) *satisfying* $u \in C([0,T); H^s)$ *and* $b \in C([0,T); H^s)$. *Moreover,* $\partial_z u \in L^2(0,T; H^s)$.

Proof. The result can be obtained by the Friedrichs method (see [2] for more details): For $n \geq 1$, let J_n be the spectral cut-off defined by

$$
\widehat{J_n f}(\xi) = 1_{[0,n]}(|\xi|)\widehat{f}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3.
$$

We consider the following system in the spaces $L_n^2 := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \,|\, \operatorname{supp}\widehat{f} \subset B(0,n)\}$:

$$
\begin{cases}
\partial_t u + \mathcal{P}J_n \mathrm{div}\,(\mathcal{P}J_n u \otimes \mathcal{P}J_n u) - \partial_{zz}^2 J_n u = \mathcal{P}J_n \mathrm{div}\,(\mathcal{P}J_n b \otimes \mathcal{P}J_n b), \\
\partial_t b + \mathcal{P}J_n \mathrm{div}\,(\mathcal{P}J_n u \otimes \mathcal{P}J_n b) = \mathcal{P}J_n \mathrm{div}\,(\mathcal{P}J_n b \otimes \mathcal{P}J_n u), \\
(u, b)|_{t=0} = J_n(u_0, b_0).
\end{cases}
\tag{4.1}
$$

The Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem yields that there exists a unique maximal solution $(u_n, b_n) \in \mathcal{C}^1([0, T_n^*); L_n^2)$. Recall that $J_n^2 = J_n, \mathcal{P}^2 = \mathcal{P}$ and $J_n\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}J_n$, it is easy to check that $(\mathcal{P}u_n, \mathcal{P}b_n)$ and (J_nu_n, J_nb_n) are also solutions. By the uniqueness, $\mathcal{P}u_n = u_n$(i.e. $\mathrm{div} u_n = 0$), $J_nu_n = u_n$, $\mathcal{P}b_n = b_n$(i.e. $\mathrm{div} b_n = 0$) and $J_nb_n = b_n$. Therefore, system (4.1) can be simplified as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_n + \mathcal{P}J_n \mathrm{div}\,(u_n \otimes u_n) - \partial_{zz}^2 u_n = \mathcal{P}J_n(b_n \otimes b_n), \\ \partial_t b_n + \mathcal{P}J_n \mathrm{div}\,(u_n \otimes b_n) = \mathcal{P}J_n \mathrm{div}\,(b_n \otimes u_n), \\ \mathrm{div}\, u_n = \mathrm{div}\, b_n = 0, \\ (u_n, b_n)|_{t=0} = J_n(u_0, b_0). \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Since the operators J_n and $\mathcal{P}J_n$ are the orthogonal projectors for the L^2-inner product. The classical commutator estimate enables us to conclude that the approximate solution (u_n, b_n) of system (4.2) satisfies

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\| \big(u_n, b_n\big)(t) \right\|_{H^s}^2 + 2 \left\| \partial_z u_n(\tau) \right\|_{H^s}^2 \mathrm{d}\tau \leq C\big(\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla b_n\|_{L^\infty}\big) \left\| \big(u_n, b_n\big)(t) \right\|_{H^s}^2.$$

Since $s > \frac{5}{2}$, the space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$ continuously embeds in $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, it follows that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} X_n(t) \leq C X_n^2(t)$$

where $X_n(t) := \left\| \big(u_n, b_n\big)(t) \right\|_{H^s}$.

Then, we get that for all n,

$$\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \left\| \big(u_n, b_n\big)(t) \right\|_{H^s} \leq \frac{\left\| \big(u_0, b_0\big) \right\|_{H^s}}{1 - CT \left\| \big(u_0, b_0\big) \right\|_{H^s}},$$

which implies that

$$u_n \in L^\infty([0, T); H^s),\ b_n \in L^\infty([0, T); H^s) \text{ and } \partial_z u_n \in L^2([0, T); H^s) \text{ are uniformly bounded,}$$

provided that $T < (C \left\| \big(u_0, b_0\big) \right\|_{H^s})^{-1}$. Hence, there exsits a couple (u, b) such that $(u^n, b^n) \rightharpoonup (u, b)$ in $L^\infty([0, T); H^s) \times L^\infty([0, T); H^s)$. According to Fatou's Lemma, we have (u, b) in $L^\infty([0, T); H^s \times H^s)$ and $\partial_z u \in L^2([0, T); H^s)$. By virtue of equations (4.2) and uniform estimates of (u_n, b_n), it is easy to check that $\partial_t u_n \in L^2([0, T); H^{s-1})$ and $\partial_t b_n \in L^\infty([0, T); H^{s-2})$. Besides, we know that $H^s \hookrightarrow H^{s-1}$ and $H^s \hookrightarrow H^{s-2}$ are locally compact. Therefore, by the classical Aubin-Lions argument and Cantor's diagonal process, we conclude that there exists a subsequence which we also denote (u^n, b^n) such that $(u^n, b^n) \to (u, b)$ in $L^2([0, T); H^{s'}) \times L^2([0, T); H^{s'})$ for all $s' < s$. This strong convergence enables us to derive that the limit (u, b) is a distributional solution of problem (1.2) on interval $[0, T)$. Since (u, b) belongs to $L^\infty([0, T); H^s \times H^s)$, the limit u is a smooth local-in-time solution of problem (1.2). The time continuity follows from the fact that u and b satisfy transport equations with the velocity lying in Lipschitz field and the source term belonging to $L^2([0, T); H^s)$.

Next, we show the uniqueness of solutions to problem (1.2). Suppose that (u_1, p_1, b_1) and (u_2, p_2, b_2) are two solutions of system (1.2) with the same initial data. Letting the difference $(\delta u, \delta p, \delta b) := (u_1 - u_2, p_1 - p_2, b_1 - b_2)$, we find that $(\delta u, \delta p, \delta b)$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \delta u + (u_1 \cdot \nabla)\delta u - \partial_{zz}^2 \delta u + \nabla \delta p = (b_1 \cdot \nabla)\delta b + (\delta b \cdot \nabla)b_2 - (\delta u \cdot \nabla)u_2, \quad (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \partial_t \delta b + (u_1 \cdot \nabla)\delta b = (b_1 \cdot \nabla)\delta u + (\delta b \cdot \nabla)u_2 - (\delta u \cdot \nabla)b_2, \\ \mathrm{div}\, \delta u = \mathrm{div}\, \delta b = 0, \\ (\delta u, \delta b)|_{t=0} = (0, 0). \end{cases}$$

Taking the standard L^2-estimate of $(\delta u, \delta b)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(\|\delta u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\delta u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\big) + \|\partial_z \delta b(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\delta b \cdot \nabla)b_2 \delta u \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\delta u \cdot \nabla)u_2 \delta u \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\delta b \cdot \nabla)u_2 \delta b \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\delta u \cdot \nabla)b_2 \delta b \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\big(\|\delta u(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta b(t)\|_{L^2}^2\big)\le C\big(\|\nabla u_2\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla b_2\|_{L^\infty}\big)\big(\|\delta u(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|\delta b(t)\|_{L^2}^2\big).$$

Since $\int_0^t\big(\|\nabla u_2(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla b_2(\tau)\|_{L^\infty}\big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau<\infty$, the Gronwall inequality entails $(\delta u(t),\delta b(t))\equiv 0$ on the whole interval $[0,T)$. □

Now, our main task is to show that this local-in-time solution can be extended to the global-in-time solution under the assumption that $u_0=u_0^re_r+u_0^ze_z$ and $b_0=b_0^\theta e_\theta$. From Proposition 4.1, we know that there exists a smooth solution $(u,b)\in C([0,T);H^s)\times C([0,T);H^s)$ of system (1.2). This together with the axisymmetric assumption allows us to perform the same argument in Section 3 to get $\int_0^T\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^\infty}\,\mathrm{d}t<\infty$. Then, by using the BKM's criterion established in [13], we get that the local-in-time smooth solutions can be extended to all the positive time. Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Appendix A. Appendix

In this section, we shall give two useful lemmas which have been used in sections above.

Lemma E.1. *Let $s>0$, $q\in[1,\infty]$. Then there exists a constant C such that the following inequality holds true*

$$\|fg\|_{B^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\big(\|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|g\|_{B^s_{p_2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|g\|_{L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|f\|_{B^s_{r_2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big),$$

where $p_1,r_1\in[1,\infty]$ satisfy $\frac{1}{p}=\frac{1}{p_1}+\frac{1}{p_2}=\frac{1}{r_1}+\frac{1}{r_2}$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma is standard, one can refer to [20] for the proof. □

Lemma E.2 (Commutator estimate)**.** *Let $1\le p\le\infty$ and $-1<\sigma<1$. Assume that u is a divergence free vector-field over $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\omega=\nabla\times u$. Then, there exists a positive constant C such that for all $q\ge -1$, the term $R_q(u,v):=S_{q+1}u\cdot\nabla\Delta_qv-\Delta_q(u\cdot\nabla v)$ satisfies the following two estimates:*

$$\|R_q(u,v)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{q'\ge q-4}2^{q-q'}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}\|\Delta_{q'}\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}\tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\|R_q(u,v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \le &C\Big(\|S_{q+5}\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{|q'-q|\le 5}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}+2^{-q\sigma}\sqrt{q+2}\,\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}}\,\|v\|_{B^\sigma_{p,\infty}}\Big).\end{aligned}\tag{5.2}$$

Proof. We omit the proof of (5.1) because its proof is standard and classical. One can refer to [7] for more details.

Let us begin to prove (5.2). We first decompose $R_q(u,v)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}R_q(u,v)=&S_{q+1}u\cdot\nabla\Delta_qv-\Delta_q(S_{q+1}u\cdot\nabla v)-\Delta_q\big((\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u\cdot\nabla v\big)\\ =&-[\Delta_q,S_{q+1}\bar u]\cdot\nabla v-[\Delta_q,S_{q+1}S_1u]\cdot\nabla v-\Delta_q\big((\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u\cdot\nabla v\big),\end{aligned}$$

where $\bar u=(\mathrm{I_d}-S_1)u$.

By Bony's decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}[\Delta_q,S_{q+1}\bar u]\cdot\nabla v=&[\Delta_q,T_{S_{q+1}\bar u_i}]\partial_iv+\Delta_q\big(T_{\partial_iv}S_{q+1}\bar u_i\big)+\Delta_q\big(R(S_{q+1}\bar u_i,\partial_iv)\big)\\ &-T_{\Delta_q\partial_iv}S_{q+1}\bar u_i-R(S_{q+1}\bar u_i,\Delta_q\partial_iv)\\ :=&R_q^1(u,v)+R_q^2(u,v)+R_q^3(u,v)+R_q^4(u,v)+R_q^5(u,v),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_q\big((\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u\cdot\nabla v\big)=&\Delta_q\big(T_{(\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u_i}\partial_i v\big)+\Delta_q\big(T_{\partial_i v}(\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u_i\big)+\Delta_q R\big((\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u_i,\partial_i v\big)\\ :=&R_q^6(u,v)+R_q^7(u,v)+R_q^8(u,v).\end{aligned}$$

From above, it is clear to find that the only term $[\Delta_q, S_{q+1}S_1u]\cdot\nabla v$ involves low frequency of u. First of all, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}&[S_{q'-1}S_{q+1}\bar{u}_i,\Delta_q]\partial_i\Delta_{q'}v\\ =&2^{qn}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(S_{q'-1}S_{q+1}\bar{u}_i(\mathbf{x})-S_{q'-1}S_{q+1}\bar{u}_i(\mathbf{y})\big)\varphi\big(2^q(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\big)\partial_i\Delta_{q'}v(\mathbf{y})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}\\ =&-2^{qn}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^1\partial_k S_{q'-1}S_{q+1}\bar{u}_i\big(\tau\mathbf{x}+(1-\tau)\mathbf{y}\big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau(x_k-y_k)\varphi\big(2^q(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\big)\partial_i\Delta_{q'}v(\mathbf{y})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}\\ =&-2^{q(n-1)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^1\partial_k S_{q'-1}S_{q+1}\bar{u}_i\big(\tau\mathbf{x}+(1-\tau)\mathbf{y}\big)\,\mathrm{d}\tau 2^q(x_k-y_k)\varphi\big(2^q(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\big)\partial_i\Delta_{q'}v(\mathbf{y})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y},\end{aligned}$$

where used the relation $\Delta_q f(\mathbf{x})=2^{qn}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi\big(2^q(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\big)f(\mathbf{y})\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}$.

Therefore, we immediately get that

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_q^1(u,v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le&C\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}2^{-q}\|\partial_k S_{q'-1}\bar{u}_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\partial_i\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\mathbf{x}\varphi(\mathbf{x})|\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\\ \le&C\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}\|\partial_k S_{q'-1}u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \le&C\|S_{q+5}\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

In a similar fashion as to prove $R_q^1(u,v)$, we can bounded $[\Delta_q, S_{q+1}S_1u]\cdot\nabla v$ as follows:

$$\big\|[\Delta_q,S_{q+1}S_1u]\cdot\nabla v\big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\|S_{q+5}\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

For the second term $R_q^2(u,v)$, the Hölder inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}\big\|R_q^2(u,v)\big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le&C\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}\|\Delta_{q'}\bar{u}_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|S_{q'-1}\partial_i v\big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \le&C\sum_{|q'-q|\le5}2^{q-q'}\|\Delta_{q'}\nabla u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{-1\le k\le q'-2}2^{k-q}\big\|\Delta_k v\big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \le&C\sqrt{q+2}\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{-1\le k\le q+2}2^{k-q}\big\|\Delta_k v\big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can conclude that

$$\|R_q^4(u,v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le C\sqrt{q+2}\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{-1\le k\le q+2}2^{k-q}\big\|\Delta_k v\big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The reminder term $R_q^3(u,v)$ can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}\|\partial_i\Delta_q\big(R(S_{q+1}\bar{u}_i,v)\big)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\le&C\sum_{q'\ge q-3}2^q\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'}S_{q+1}\bar{u}_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\ \le&C\sum_{q'\ge q-3}2^{q-q'}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'}\nabla\bar{u}_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C\sqrt{q+2}\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{q'\geq q-3} \sqrt{1+(q'-q)}2^{-(q'-q)}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where we have used the fact

$$\|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'}\nabla u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'}\omega\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sqrt{q'+2}\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Similarly, we can conclude that

$$\|R_q^5(u,v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\sqrt{q+2}\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sum_{q'\geq q-3} \sqrt{1+(q'-q)}2^{-(q'-q)}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

It remains for us to bound the last three terms $R_q^6(u,v)$, $R_q^7(u,v)$ and $R_q^8(u,v)$. Thanks to the property of support and the Hölder inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_q^6(u,v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq& C\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 5} \|S_{q'-1}(\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Delta_{q'}\partial_i v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
\leq& C\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 5} 2^{-q'}\|S_{q'-1}(\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})\nabla u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Delta_{q'}\partial_i v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
\leq& C\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 5} \|S_{q'-1}\nabla u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
\leq& C\|S_{q+5}\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 5}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term $R_q^7(u,v)$, by the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_q^7(u,v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq& C\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 5} \|S_{q'-1}\partial_i v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Delta_{q'}(\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
\leq& C\sum_{|q'-q|\leq 5}\sum_{-1\leq k\leq q'-2} 2^{k-q'}\|\Delta_k v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\Delta_{q'}\nabla u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
\leq& C\sqrt{q+2}\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{-1\leq k\leq q+3} 2^{k-q}\|\Delta_k v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As for the last term $R_q^8(u,v)$, by the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R_q^8(u,v)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq& C\big\|\partial_i\Delta_q R\big((\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u_i,v\big)\big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
\leq& C\sum_{q'\geq q-3} 2^q\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\tilde{\Delta}_{q'}(\mathrm{I_d}-S_{q+1})u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
\leq& C\sum_{q'\geq q-3} 2^{q-q'}\|\Delta_{q'}v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}\|\tilde{\tilde{\Delta}}_{q'}\nabla u_i\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}\\
\leq& C\sqrt{q+2}\|\omega\|_{\sqrt{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\sum_{q'\geq q-3}\sqrt{1+(q'-q)}2^{-(q'-q)}\|\Delta_q v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting these estimates yields the desired result (5.2). □

Acknowledgements. Jiu is partially supported by National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (No. 11171229, No.11231006) and Project of Beijing Chang Cheng Xue Zhe.

References

[1] H. Abidi, T. Hmidi, K. Sahbi, *On the global regularity of axisymmetric Navier-Stokes-Boussinesq system*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 29 (2011), 737-756.

[2] H. Bahouri, J.-Y. Chemin, R. Danchin, Fourier Analysis and Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 343, Springer, 2011.

[3] C. Cao, R. Dipendra, J. Wu, *The 2D MHD equations with horizontal dissipation and horizontal magnetic diffusion*, J. Differential Equations, 254 (2013), 2661-2681.
[4] C. Cao, J. Wu, *Global regularity for the 2D MHD equations with mixed partial dissipation and magnetic diffusion*, Adv. Math., 226 (2011), 1803-1822.
[5] G. Duvaut, J.-L. Lions, *Inquations en thermolasticit et magntohydrodynamique*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 46 (1972), 241-279.
[6] R. Danchin, *Axisymmetric incompressible flows with bounded vorticity*, Russian Math. Surveys, 62(2007), 73-94.
[7] R. Danchin, M. Paicu, *Global existence results for the anisotropic Boussinesq system in dimension two*, Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences, Vol. 21, 3(2011).
[8] T. Hmidi, S. Keraani, F. Rousset, *Global well-posedness for Navier-Stokes-Boussinesq system*, Ann. I. H. Poincaŕe-AN., 27 (2010), 1227-1246.
[9] T. Hmidi, F. Rousset, *Global well-posedness for the Euler-Boussinesq system with axisymmetric data*, J. Functional Analysis., 260 (2011), 745-796.
[10] Q. Jiu, J. Liu, *Global regularity for the 3D axisymmetric MHD equations with horizontal dissipation and vertical magnetic diffusion*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 35 (2015), 301-322.
[11] S. Leonardi, J. Málek , J. Nečas, M. Pokorny, *On axially symmetric flows in* $\mathbb{R}^3$, Zeitschrift fur Analysis und ihre Anwendungen, 18 (1999), 639-649.
[12] Z. Lei, *On axially symmetric incompressible Magnetohydrodynamics in three dimension*, Journal of Differential Equations, 259 (2015), 3202-3215.
[13] Z. Lei, Y. Zhou, *BKM's criterion and global weak solutions for magnetohydrodynamics with zero viscosity*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 25 (2009), 575-583.
[14] F.-H. Lin, L. Xu, P. Zhang, *Global small solutions to 2D incompressible MHD system*, preprint, arXiv: 1302. 5877v2.
[15] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *Unique solvability in large of a three-dimensional Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations in the presence of axial symmetry*, Zapisky Nauchnych Sem. LOMI, 7 (1968), 155-177.
[16] A.P Calderon, A. Zygmund, On existence of certain singular integral, Acta Math., 88(1952), 85-139.
[17] A. J. Majda, A. L. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow, Cambridge University Press*, 2001.
[18] C. Miao, X. Zheng, *Global well-posedness for axisymmetric Boussinesq system with horizontal viscosity*, J. Math. Pures Appl., 101 (2014), 842-872.
[19] C. Miao, X. Zheng, *On the global well-posedness for the Boussinesq system with horizontal dissipation*, Comm. Math. Phys., 321 (2013), 33-67.
[20] C. Miao, J. Wu, Z. Zhang, Littlewood-Paley theory and applications to fluid dynamics equations, Monographs on Modern pure mathematics, No.142. Science Press, Beijing, 2012.
[21] R. O' Neil, *Convolution operators and* $L(p,q)$ *spaces*, Duke Math. J., 30 (1963), 129–142.
[22] X. Ren, J. Wu, Z. Xiang and Z. Zhang, Global existence and decay of smooth solution for the 2-D MHD equations without magnetic diffusion, *J. Functional Analysis* **267** (2014), 503–541.
[23] M. Sermange, R. Temam, *Some mathematical questions related to the MHD equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 36 (1983), 635-664.
[24] T. Shirota, T. Yanagisawa, *Note on global existence for axially symmetric solutions of the Euler system*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci., 70 (1994), 299-304.
[25] M. R. Ukhovskii, V. I. Yudovich, *Axially symmetric flows of ideal and viscous fluids filling the whole space*, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics, 32 (1968), 52-61.
[26] G. Wu, X. Zheng, *Global well-posedness for the two-dimensional nonlinear Boussinesq equations with vertical dissipation*, J. Differential Equations, 255 (2013), 2891-2926.
[27] V. Yudovich, *Non-stationary flows of an ideal incompressible fluid*, Akademija Nauk SSSR. Źurnal Vyćislitel'noí Matematiki i Matematićeskoí Fiziki, 3 (1963), 1032-1066.
[28] T. Zhang, An elementary proof of the global existence and uniqueness theorem to 2-D incompressible non-resistive MHD system, arXiv:1404.5681v1 [math.AP] 23 Apr 2014.

[1] School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing, 100048, P.R. China

E-mail address: `jiuqs@mail.cnu.edu.cn`

[2] School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing, 100048, P.R. China

E-mail address: `yuhuandreamer@163.com`

[3] School of Mathematics and Systems Science, Beihang University, Beijing 100191, P.R. China

E-mail address: `xiaoxinzheng@buaa.edu.cn`